MAREZIO, M., DERNIER, P. D., REMEIKA, J. P., CORENZWIT, E. & MATTHIAS, B. T. (1973). *Mater. Res. Bull.* 8, 657–668.

Rossel, C., Sandvold, E., Sergent, M., Chevrel, R. & Potel, M. (1990). *Physica C*, 165, 233–240.

Acta Cryst. (1992). C48, 1182-1185

## The Synthesis and Crystal Structure of LaHSe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub>, a Layered Anhydrous Selenite

BY RUSSELL MORRIS

Department of Materials, University of California, Santa Barbara, CA 93106-9510, USA

WILLIAM T. A. HARRISON AND GALEN D. STUCKY

Department of Chemistry, University of California, Santa Barbara, CA 93106-9510, USA

AND ANTHONY K. CHEETHAM

Department of Materials, University of California, Santa Barbara, CA 93106-9510, USA

(Received 14 April 1991; accepted 2 December 1991)

Abstract. Lanthanum hydrogenselenite, LaHSe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub>,  $M_r = 393.83$ , orthorhombic,  $Pc2_1b$ , a = 7.139 (6), b = 19.008 (9), c = 8.469 (9) Å, V = 1149.24 Å<sup>3</sup>, Z = 8,  $D_x = 4.55$  g cm<sup>-3</sup>,  $\mu = 199.7$  cm<sup>-1</sup>,  $\lambda$ (Mo K $\alpha$ , graphite monochromator) = 0.71073 Å, F(000) = 1392, room temperature [298 (2) K]. Final R = 3.61%, wR = 4.21% for 1701 observed reflections [ $I > 3\sigma(I)$ ]. LaHSe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub> has been prepared using hydrothermal synthetic techniques and its crystal structure elucidated by single-crystal X-ray diffraction. This new structure consists of layers of LaO<sub>10</sub>, HSeO<sub>3</sub> and SeO<sub>3</sub> polyhedra parallel to the *ac* plane; the layers are interconnected by Se—OH…O—(Se,La) hydrogen bonds.

Introduction. Until recently there has been very little work, structural or otherwise, reported on phases containing rare-earth elements in combination with selenite  $(\overline{SeO_3^2})$  anions. The structures of PrH<sub>3</sub>-(SeO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(Se<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>) (Koskenlinna & Valkonen, 1977), CeSe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub> (Delage, Carpy, H'Naïfi & Gourselle, 1986) and NaLa(SeO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (Morris, Hriljac & Cheetham, 1990) have been reported in the recent literature, these being the only anhydrous examples to date. These phases consist of the lanthanide atom in typical high coordination to oxygen, the coordination number depending on its ionic radius {eightfold for cerium  $[r(Ce^{4+}) = 1.28 \text{ Å}]$ , ninefold for praseodymium  $[r(Pr^{3+}) = 1.32 \text{ Å}]$  and tenfold for lanthanum  $[r(La^{3+}) = 1.40 \text{ Å}]$ , linked into a threedimensional structure via the sharing of faces and/or edges with other Ln - O (Ln = lanthanide) polyhedra, and vertices with the trigonal-pyramidal SeO<sub>3</sub> units. This paper reports the synthesis, using hydro-thermal techniques, and structure of a new layered lanthanum selenite, LaHSe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub>.

SHELDRICK, G. M. (1990). SHELXTL-Plus. Version 4.2. Siemens

YVON, K. (1979). Current Topics in Materials Science, Vol. 3,

edited by E. KALDIS, pp. 53-129. Amsterdam: North-Holland.

Analytical X-ray Instruments, Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

Experimental. LaHSe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub> was prepared from lanthanum nitrate, La(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>.9H<sub>2</sub>O (2 g), selenium dioxide,  $SeO_2$  (5 g), and 15 cm<sup>3</sup> of water in a Teflon-lined steel autoclave. The autoclave was heated to 473 K for 48 h and then allowed to cool to room temperature. Colorless, plate-like crystals (maximum dimensions  $3 \times 1 \times 0.5$  mm) were recovered from the autoclave by suction filtration. A small crystal (0.5  $\times$  $0.1 \times 0.05$  mm) was mounted on a glass fibre using cyano-acrylate glue, and intensity data were collected on an Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer (graphite-monochromated Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation,  $\lambda =$ 0.71073 Å). The unit-cell constants were determined from 25 centered reflections ( $12 < \theta < 18^{\circ}$ ) and then refined by the method of least squares. 3750 intensity data were collected in the range  $0 < 2\theta < 60^\circ$ , using the  $\omega - 2\theta$  scan mode [scan width =  $(1.0 + 0.35\tan\theta)^\circ$ , minimum scan speed =  $1.3^\circ \min^{-1}$ , maximum scan speed =  $6.7^\circ \min^{-1}$ ,  $0 \le h \le 10$ ,  $0 \le k \le 28$ ,  $0 \le l \le$ 12]. The systematic absences (0kl, l; hk0, k) were consistent with the space groups Pcmb (No. 57; standard setting Pbcm) and  $Pc2_{I}b$  (non-standard setting of Pca2<sub>1</sub>, No. 29). No model could be established in the centrosymmetric space group, and all subsequent calculations assumed the structure was noncentrosymmetric, as confirmed by the successful course of the refinement.  $\psi$ -scan data on 2 reflections

0108-2701/92/071182-04\$06.00

© 1992 International Union of Crystallography

with  $\chi \sim 90^\circ$  were recorded in order to apply an empirical absorption correction (minimum correction = 1.34, maximum correction = 7.12) and the raw data were prepared for structure solution and refinement using the program RC85 (Baird, 1987), resulting in 1701 observed reflections, according to the criterion  $I > 3\sigma(I)$ . After the usual corrections for Lorentz and polarization effects were made, the heavy-atom positions were located from a supersharpened Patterson function using the program SHELXS86 (Sheldrick, 1986), and the O-atom positions were located by repeated Fourier difference syntheses following refinement of the known atom positions.

All the least-squares and subsidiary calculations were performed using the Oxford CRYSTALS system (Watkin, Carruthers & Betteridge, 1986) and all programs were executed on a VAX 3800 computer. The origin with respect to the polar y direction was fixed as the centroid of the structure (Waser, 1974). The last cycle of full-matrix refinement on F(1701 reflections, 164 independent parameters, final  $\sigma/e.s.d. < 0.01$ ), including anisotropic thermal parameters for La, Se and O, converged to final agreement factors of R = 3.61%, wR = 4.21% using complex neutral-atom scattering factors taken from International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974, Vol. IV). The weighting scheme used was that of Tukey & Prince (Carruthers & Watkin, 1979), fitted using a Chebyshev polynomial [coefficients 22 (2), -17(2) and 16(2)]. A final difference Fourier synthesis revealed no peaks that were refineable as the H atoms (maximum peak height = 2.0, minimum =  $-1.8 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$ ).

Discussion. Table 1\* gives the final atomic positional and thermal parameters and Table 2 gives selected bond distances and angles for the structure. The structure of LaHSe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub> (Figs. 1 and 2) consists of layers of  $LaO_{10}$  and (H)SeO<sub>3</sub> groups parallel to the ac plane, these sheets being held together through hydrogen bonding. The structure contains two crystallographically independent La atoms, both of which are deca-coordinated to O in irregular geometry [ave. La(1)-O distance 2.615 (3) Å, ave. La(2)—O distance 2.622 (3) Å], similar to that found in NaLa(SeO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>  $[d_{ave}(La-O) = 2.635 (3) \text{ Å}]$  (Morris, Hriljac & Cheetham, 1990), and four crystallographically distinct Se atoms, all of which form their characteristic pyramidal coordination with three

Table 1. Atomic positional parameters and  $U_{eq}$  values

$U_{\rm eq} = (U_1 U_2 U_3)^{1/3}.$							
	x	у	Z	$U_{eq}(\text{\AA}^2)$			
La(1)	0.41902 (8)	0.4108 (1)	0.84339 (7)	0.0082			
La(2)	0.91735 (8)	0.4997 (1)	0.94737 (7)	0.0082			
Se(1)	0.9347 (1)	0.3586 (2)	0.6924 (1)	0.0084			
Se(2)	0.4304 (1)	0.5516 (2)	1.0944 (1)	0.0089			
Se(3)	0.4390 (2)	0.2601 (2)	0.6264 (2)	0.0140			
Se(4)	0.9217 (2)	0.6508 (2)	1.1708 (1)	0.0144			
O(1)	0.768 (1)	0.3923 (5)	0.817 (1)	0.0064			
O(2)	0.863 (1)	0.3826 (6)	0.509 (1)	0.0151			
O(3)	1.097 (1)	0.4293 (4)	0.715 (1)	0.0063			
O(4)	0.361 (1)	0.5316 (6)	1.277 (1)	0.0161			
O(5)	0.593 (1)	0.4873 (5)	1.075 (1)	0.0096			
O(6)	0.264 (1)	0.5217 (5)	0.971 (1)	0.0195			
O(7)	0.367 (2)	0.2751 (5)	0.811 (1)	0.0124			
O(8)	0.472 (1)	0.3473 (5)	0.581 (1)	0.0110			
O(9)	0.672 (2)	0.2366 (6)	0.665 (1)	0.0156			
O(10)	0.983 (1)	0.5719 (5)	1.210 (1)	0.0071			
O(11)	0.816 (2)	0.6383 (6)	0.998 (1)	0.0216			
O(12)	1.129 (2)	0.6878 (7)	1.089 (1)	0.0300			

Table 2. Bond distances (Å) and angles (°)

			<b>•</b> • • • • •
La(1) - O(1)	2.528 (8)	$La(1) \rightarrow O(2^{\alpha})$	2.51 (1)
La(1)—O(3°)	2.571 (7)	$La(1) - O(4^{c})$	2.84 (1)
La(1)—O(5)	2.738 (9)	$La(1) \rightarrow O(5^{\circ})$	2.702 (9)
La(1)—O(6)	2.61 (1)	La(1)-O(7)	2.622 (9)
La(1) - O(8)	2.55 (1)	$La(1) - O(8^{a})$	2.477 (9)
		., .,	.,
$La(2) \rightarrow O(1)$	2.553 (9)	$La(2) - O(2^{d})$	2.77 (1)
$L_{a(2)} = O(3)$	2.702 (8)	$La(2) - O(3^{4})$	2.632 (8)
$L_{a(2)} = O(4^{\circ})$	2.53 (1)	$L_{a(2)} - O(5)$	2.564 (8)
$L_{a}(2) = O(6')$	2.52 (1)	$L_{a}(2) = O(10)$	2 658 (9)
$L_{2}(2) = O(10^{2})$	2.52 (1)	$L_{a}(2) = O(11)$	2.000 ())
La(2) = O(10)	2.555 (7)	Lu(2) 0(11)	2.70 (7)
Se(1) = O(1)	1713 (8)	Se(1) - O(2)	1.697 (9)
Se(1) = O(3)	1 783 (8)		
30(1) 0(3)	1.705 (0)		
Se(2)-0(4)	1 671 (9)	Se(2) = O(5)	1 694 (8)
Se(2) 0(1)	1.68 (1)		
36(2) 0(0)	1.00 (1)		
Sa(3)-0(7)	1.67 (1)	Se(3) = O(8)	1 717 (9)
$S_{\alpha}(3) = O(7)$	1.07 (1)	56(5) 0(0)	1
36(3)-0(9)	1.70(1)		
$S_{\alpha}(A) = O(10)$	1 500 (9)	Se(4) = O(11)	1.66 (1)
$S_{\alpha}(4) = O(10)$	1.377(7)	00(4) 0(11)	1.00 (1)
36(4)-0(12)	1.76 (1)		
$O(2^{a})-La(1)-O(1)$	) 144.1 (3)	$O(3^{b})$ —La(1)—O(1)	) 149.9 (3)
$\dot{O}(3^{b})$ La $\dot{U}$ $\dot{O}(2$	<sup>(4)</sup> 63.2 (3)	$O(4^{\circ}) - La(1) - O(1^{\circ})$	63.2 (3)
$O(4^{\circ}) = L_{a}(1) = O(2^{\circ})$	<sup>a</sup> ) 136.6 (4)	$O(4^{\circ}) - La(1) - O(3^{\circ})$	107.6(3)
$O(5) = I_{2}(1) = O(1)$	71.9 (3)	$O(5) = I_{a}(1) = O(2^{a})$	944(3)
O(5) = La(1) = O(1)	1204(3)	O(5) La(1) $O(2)$	573(3)
O(3) - La(1) - O(3)	125.0(3)	O(5) = La(1) = O(4)	1241(2)
U(5) - La(1) - U(1)	y = 91.9(3)	O(5) - La(1) - O(2)	124.1(3)
O(5) - La(1) - O(3)	°) 62.7 (2)	O(5) - La(1) - O(4)	(2)
$O(5^{\circ})$ —La(1)—O(5	) 109.4 (3)	O(6) - La(1) - O(1)	124.5 (3)
$O(6) - La(1) - O(2^{a})$	) 66.6 (3)	$O(6) - La(1) - O(3^{\circ})$	) 71.7 (3)
$O(6) - La(1) - O(4^{\circ})$	) 70.4 (3)	O(6) - La(1) - O(5)	57.9 (3)
O(7)-La(1)-O(1)	89.7 (3)	$O(7)$ —La(1)— $O(2^{a})$	) 74.6 (3)
$O(7) - La(1) - O(3^{b})$	r) 87.9 (3)	$O(7) - La(1) - O(4^{\circ})$	) 148.7 (3)
O(7)-La(1)-O(5)	131.5 (3)	O(8) - La(1) - O(1)	73.3 (3)
$O(8) - La(1) - O(2^{a})$	) 120.2 (3)	O(8)-La(1)-O(3 <sup>b</sup>	) 80.1 (3)
$O(8) - La(1) - O(4^{\circ})$	ý 97.4 (3)	O(8) - La(1) - O(5)	143.7 (3)
$O(8^{\circ}) - L_{2}(1) - O(1)$	72.1(1)	$O(8^{\circ})$ La(1) $O(2^{\circ})$	<sup>e</sup> ) 72.1 (3)
$O(8^{\circ}) = I_{\alpha}(1) = O(3^{\circ})$	(1) 1339 (3)	$O(8^{\circ}) = I_{a}(1) = O(4$	9 1123(3)
$O(8^{\circ}) = L_{a}(1) = O(5^{\circ})$	(3) $(3)$ $(3)$	$O(6) = I_{2}(1) = O(5)$	) 843(3)
O(0) = La(1) = O(5)	1150(3)	O(0) = La(1) = O(5)	140.0 (3)
O(7) - La(1) - O(5)	(10.9(3))	O(7) = La(1) = O(0)	140.9(3)
U(8) - La(1) - U(5)	) 01.8 (3)	O(8) - La(1) - O(0)	(143.4(3))
O(8) - La(1) - O(7)	57.6 (3)	$U(s^{-}) - La(1) - U(s^{-})$	103.4(3)
$U(8^{\circ})$ —La(1)—O(6	) 101.0 (3)	$O(8^{\circ})$ —La(1)—O(7	) 69.5 (3)
$O(8^{a})-La(1)-O(8^{a})$	5) 115.5 (3)		
		om • m om	50 1 (2)
$O(2^{a})-La(2)-O(1)$	) 71.1 (3)	O(3)—La(2)— $O(1)$	59.1 (3)
$O(3)$ —La(2)— $O(2^{4})$	<sup>r</sup> ) 58.2 (3)	$O(3^{a})$ —La(2)—O(1	) 87.2 (3)
$O(3^{a})$ —La(2)—O(2	2") 56.7 (2)	$O(3^{\circ})$ —La(2)—O(3	) 113.2 (3)

<sup>\*</sup> Lists of observed and calculated structure factors and anisotropic thermal parameters have been deposited with the British Library Document Supply Centre as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 54917 (14 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Technical Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England. [CIF reference: CR0356]

Table 2 (cont.)

$O(4^{c})$ La(2)O(1)	67.5 (3)	$O(4^{c})$ -La(2)-O(2 <sup>d</sup> )	138.2 (4)	
$O(4^{\circ})-La(2)-O(3)$	94.3 (3)	$O(4^{\circ})$ —La(2)—O(3 <sup>d</sup> )	125.7 (3)	
O(5) - La(2) - O(1)	74.5 (3)	$O(5) - La(2) - O(2^d)$	111.0 (3)	
O(5)—La(2)—O(3)	133.5 (3)	$O(5) - La(2) - O(3^{d})$	63.7 (2)	
$O(5) - La(2) - O(4^{c})$	63.4 (3)	$O(6^{\circ})$ —La(2)—O(1)	125.2 (3)	
$O(6^{e}) - La(2) - O(2^{a})$	64.0 (3)	$O(6^{\circ}) - La(2) - O(3)$	71.0 (3)	
$O(6^{e}) - La(2) - O(3^{d})$	93.0 (3)	$O(6^{\circ}) - La(2) - O(4^{\circ})$	141.0 (3)	
O(10) - La(2) - O(1)	148.1 (3)	$O(10) - La(2) - O(2^{a})$	98.9 (3)	
O(10) - La(2) - O(3)	141.4 (3)	$O(10) - La(2) - O(3^{d})$	63.1 (3)	
$O(10) - La(2) - O(4^{\circ})$	119.6 (3)	O(10')—La(2)—O(1)	101.9 (3)	
$O(10^{\prime}) - La(2) - O(2^{\prime})$	115.2 (3)	O(10') - La(2) - O(3)	63.8 (3)	
$O(10^{\prime}) - La(2) - O(3^{\prime})$	165.4 (3)	$O(10')$ —La(2)— $O(4^{\circ})$	68.7 (3)	
O(11) - La(2) - O(1)	136.1 (3)	$O(11) - La(2) - O(2^{d})$	152.0 (3)	
O(11) - La(2) - O(3)	135.0 (3)	$O(11) - La(2) - O(3^{d})$	110.0 (3)	
$O(11) - La(2) - O(4^{\circ})$	69.8 (3)	$O(6^{\circ})$ —La(2)—O(5)	150.3 (3)	
O(10) - La(2) - O(5)	81.7 (3)	$O(10) - La(2) - O(6^{\circ})$	70.9 (3)	
$O(10^{\prime})-La(2)-O(5)$	129.4 (3)	$O(10^{\prime}) - La(2) - O(6^{\prime})$	72.4 (3)	
$O(10^{\prime})$ —La(2)—O(10)	109.6 (3)	O(11)—La(2)—O(5)	77.7 (3)	
O(11)—La(2)—O(6 <sup>e</sup> )	94.9 (3)	O(11) - La(2) - O(10)	54.9 (3)	
O(11) - La(2) - O(10)	71.3 (3)			
O(2) - Se(1) - O(1)	104.6 (5)	O(3)—Se(1)— $O(1)$	95.9 (4)	
O(3) - Se(1) - O(2)	95.2 (4)			
O(5) - Se(2) - O(4)	97.6 (5)	O(6) - Se(2) - O(4)	106.8 (5)	
O(6) - Se(2) - O(5)	100.3 (4)			
O(8) - Se(3) - O(7)	94.9 (5)	O(9) - Se(3) - O(7)	99.3 (6)	
O(9)—Se(3)—O(8)	99.1 (5)			
O(11) - Se(4) - O(10)	100.1 (5)	O(12)—Se(4)— $O(10)$	103.0 (6)	
O(12) - Se(4) - O(11)	95.1 (6)			

Symmetry codes (a) 
$$1 - x$$
,  $y$ ,  $\frac{1}{2} + z$ ; (b)  $-1 + x$ ,  $y$ ,  $z$ ; (c)  $1 - x$ ,  $y - \frac{1}{2} + z$ ; (d)  $2 - x$ ,  $y$ ,  $\frac{1}{2} + z$ ; (e)  $1 + x$ ,  $y$ ,  $z$ ; (f)  $2 - x$ ,  $y$ ,  $-\frac{1}{2} + z$ .

O-atom neighbors. The twelve crystallographically distinct O atoms can be classified into four groups with respect to the number and types of their nearest neighbour atoms: six O atoms, O(1), O(2), O(4), O(6), O(8) and O(10), which are coordinated with two La and one Se atom each; atoms O(3) and O(5) are coordinated with three La atoms and one Se atom; atoms O(7) and O(11) bond to one La atom and one Se atom; two more atoms, O(9) and O(12), which are protonated (confirmed by bond-strength/bond-length analysis) are coordinated with an Se atom. The possible H-bonding scheme is discussed below.

The La atoms are both shifted from the centroids of their coordinating O polyhedra: La(1) by 0.15 (1) and La(2) by 0.11 (1) Å, the distortion being largely in the direction of the polar axis of the unit cell (*i.e.* the *b* axis). The program *MISSYM* (Le Page, 1988) which checks a crystallographic atomic model for 'missing' (unmodeled) symmetry, did not detect that this polyhedral asymmetry was the result of a distortion from a possible centrosymmetric prototype structure, allowing all the atoms to move up to 1 Å to assume their possible new positions. All four Se atoms exhibit the usual trigonal pyramidal geometry with an (unobserved) stereochemically active lone pair of electrons [ave. Se—O distance 1.702 (3) Å, ave. O—Se—O bond angle 99.3 (2)°]. These values compare satisfactorily with the average geometrical parameters  $[\langle d(\text{Se}-\text{O}) \rangle = 1.709 (10) \text{ Å}, \langle \theta(\text{O}-\text{Se}-\text{O}) \rangle = 100.2 (1.3)^\circ$ ; values in parentheses = r.m.s. deviations] derived by Hawthorne, Groat & Ercit (1987) for a large number of (H)SeO<sub>3</sub> species.

One  $La(1)O_{10}$  polyhedron is linked via the sharing of two triangular faces with two other  $La(2)O_{10}$ units, and with two more  $La(1)O_{10}$  groups via the sharing of edges, forming an infinite layer. The layers incorporate the Se atoms in two ways: Se(1) and Se(2) share all three O-atom vertices with neighboring  $LaO_{10}$  units, while Se(3) and Se(4) share only two of their O vertices with La atoms. The two remaining O atoms of the Se(3) and Se(4) selenite groups [O(9) and O(12), respectively] are protonated and point into the interlamellar region, and it is through these atoms that the hydrogen bonding which binds the layers together occurs. The proton positions were not located in the diffraction study, but reasonable interlayer hydrogen-bonding O...O O(9)H···O(11) distances include [d(O-O) =2.541 (15) Å] and O(12)H.O(9)[d(O - O) =2.686 (15) Å]. If an O-H distance of 1.0 Å, and linear O-H-O bonds between layers are assumed,

0(6) 0(4) Se(2) La(1) A 0(7) 0(5) )Se(3) Ø<sup>0(11)</sup> 0(8) Se(4) 0(1) A 0(9) La(2) 0(10) H0(12) &0(2) Se(1) ¥0(3)

Fig. 1. Detail of the La/Se/O sheet in LaHSe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub> showing the atom-labeling scheme. Thermal ellipsoids are at the 50% probability level.



Fig. 2. Packing diagram for LaHSe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub>, viewed down the **a** direction, showing the proposed interlayer hydrogen-bonding scheme, indicated by thin lines.

Se—O—H angles of 106 and  $118^{\circ}$  would result from these interactions. Both these hydrogen bonds also lead to short non-bonding Se to H distances of less than 2.4 Å.

This compound is the first layered anhydrous selenite to be discovered, complementing the praseodymium selenite/diselenite phase lavered  $PrH_3(SeO_3)_2(Se_2O_5)$  (Koskenlinna & Valkonen, 1977), and layered hydrated selenite phases such as CaSeO<sub>3</sub>.H<sub>2</sub>O (Hawthorne, Ercit & Groat, 1986) and the recently discovered  $LnHSe_2O_6.2H_2O$ , Ln = Y, Pr-Yb (except Pm) (Morris, Harrison, Srdanov, Stucky & Cheetham, 1991). The two-dimensional structure of LaHSe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub>, which is layered with respect to the polar crystallographic direction, combined with the acidic protons which must be present, leads to interesting possibilities for ion exchange and intercalation chemistry. Studies of these reactions are now being undertaken.

REM would like to thank the SERC for a studentship. We would also like to thank P. M. Woolner and M. A. Crawley for informative

discussions. GDS would like to thank the Office of Naval Research for partial support.

## References

- BAIRD, P. D. (1987). RC85. Data Reduction Program. Chemical Crystallography Laboratory, Univ. of Oxford, England.
- CARRUTHERS, J. R. & WATKIN, D. J. (1979). Acta Cryst. A35, 698–699.
- DELAGE, C., CARPY, A., H'NAÏFI, A. & GOURSELLE, M. (1986). Acta Cryst. C42, 1475-1477.
- HAWTHORNE, F. C., ERCIT, T. S. & GROAT, L. A. (1986). Acta Cryst. C42, 1285–1287.
- Hawthorne, F. C., Groat, L. A. & Ercit, T. S. (1987). Acta Cryst. C43, 2044–2046.
- Koskenlinna, M. & Valkonen, J. (1977). Acta Chem. Scand. Ser. A, **31**, 457–460.
- LE PAGE, Y. (1988). J. Appl. Cryst. 21, 983-984.
- MORRIS, R. E., HARRISON, W. T. A., SRDANOV, V. I., STUCKY, G. D. & CHEETHAM, A. K. (1991). In preparation.
- MORRIS, R. E., HRILJAC, J. A. & CHEETHAM, A. K. (1990). Acta Cryst. C46, 2013-2017.
- SHELDRICK, G. M. (1986). SHELXS86. User's Guide. Univ. of Göttingen, Germany.
- WASER, J. (1974). Acta Cryst. A30, 261-264.
- WATKIN, D. J., CARRUTHERS, J. R. & BETTERIDGE, P. W. (1986). Crystals User's Guide. Chemical Crystallography Laboratory, Univ. of Oxford, England.

Acta Cryst. (1992). C48, 1185-1188

## The Structure of Hexaaquaaluminium(III) Bromate Trihydrate, [Al(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>6</sub>](BrO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>.3H<sub>2</sub>O

BY ANTHONY C. BLACKBURN, JUDITH C. GALLUCCI AND ROGER E. GERKIN\* Department of Chemistry, The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio 43210, USA

(Received 25 April 1991; accepted 12 December 1991)

Abstract. Hexaaquaaluminium(III) bromate trihydrate,  $[Al(H_2O)_6](BrO_3)_3.3H_2O$ ,  $M_r = 572.84$ , triclinic,  $P\overline{1}$ , a = 9.536 (2), b = 11.095 (4), c =9.291 (2) Å,  $\alpha = 106.58$  (2),  $\beta = 100.42$  (2), 113.01 (2)°, V = 818.1 (4) Å<sup>3</sup>, Z = 2,  $\gamma =$ 113.01 (2)°, 2.33 g cm<sup>-3</sup>,  $D_x =$  $\lambda$ (Mo  $K\overline{\alpha}$ ) = 0.71073 Å,  $\mu =$ 74.58 cm<sup>-1</sup>, F(000) = 560, T = 296 K, R = 0.050 for 3777 unique reflections having  $I > \sigma_I$ . The single type of Al ion is coordinated by six inequivalent water O atoms which form a slightly distorted octahedron. The average Al-O distance within the octahedron is 1.872 (11) Å. Each of the three inequivalent bromate groups has three inequivalent O atoms. The average Br—O distances and O—Br—O angles for these ions are: 1.652 (25) Å and 104.1 (17)° for  $Br(1)O_3$ ; 1.650 (9) Å and 104.4 (18)° for Br(2)O<sub>3</sub>; 1.643 (9) Å

0108-2701/92/071185-04\$06.00

and 103.6  $(14)^{\circ}$  for Br(3)O<sub>3</sub>. The aluminium-oxygen complex conformed to rigid-body behavior but the bromate groups did not. Corrected for rigid-body motion, the average Al—O distance becomes 1.880 (10) Å. Least-squares refinement of the positional parameters of the 18 inequivalent H atoms permitted a detailed analysis of the hydrogen bonding.

**Introduction.** Continuing interest in structures of simple hydrated oxyhalogen salts led to the present study of hydrated aluminium bromate. As in our previous studies, it was of particular interest to refine H-atom coordinates in order to carry out a detailed analysis of the hydrogen bonding.

**Experimental.** Metathetical reaction of aqueous solutions of  $Al_2(SO_4)_3$  (Aldrich Chemical Company) and

© 1992 International Union of Crystallography

<sup>\*</sup> Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.